

# Journal of Current Opinion in Crop Science

Journal homepage: www.jcocs.com; ISSN(0):2583-0392

# Journal of Current Opinion in Crop Science

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

## Comparative Effects of Soil Nutrient Status and Texture on Mycorrhiza – Legume Base Cropping System in Two Soil Types of Nigeria

Dania, S.O<sup>1\*</sup> and Fagbola, O<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

#### **Edited by:**

Dr. M. Mobarak Hossain, Ph.D., Asstt. Scientist, International Rice Research Institute (IRRI Bangladesh), House 103, Banani, Dhaka 1213, Bangladesh

#### **Reviewed by:**

Dr. M. Murugan, Cardamom Research Station, KAU, Idukki, Kerala, India.

#### Article history:

Received: January 2, 2022 Accepted: March 11, 2022 Published: March 27, 2022

#### **Citation**:

Dania, S. O., & Fagbola, O. (2022). Comparative Effects of Soil Nutrient Status and Texture on Mycorrhiza – Legume Base Cropping System in Two Soil Types of Nigeria. *Journal of Current Opinion in Crop Science*, *3*(1), 6-15.

\*Corresponding author e-mail address: <u>megstedania@yahoo.com</u> (Dania, S.O)

#### ABSTRACT

Soil nutrients and texture determine the rate of response of crops to mycorrhizal colonization. A two-year field experiment was conducted to compare the effects of mycorrhiza - legume base cropping systems at Ekpoma and Uzeba, both in Edo State, Nigeria. The experiment was a factorial, fitted into a RCBD with three replicates. The treatments consist of two levels of mycorrhiza and three planting systems. The descriptive statistics were used to analyse the data, and the Duncan Multiple Range Test was used to separate means (p<0.05). Ekpoma soil is moderately suitable while Uzeba soils are low in nutrient with loamy sand and sand texture respectively. The interaction of the mycorrhiza with pigeon pea significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased the soil nutrient and nitrogen fixation in Ekpoma compared to the Uzeba location. The growth and yield of maize in Ekpoma were significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) higher compared to that of Uzeba with or without mycorrhiza inoculation, with an average yield of 2.24 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in Ekpoma and 1.51 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in Uzeba. The inoculation of *Glomus clarum* increased the grain yield of pigeon pea by 48 % and 37 % compared to non-mycorrhizal pigeon pea for both sole and intercrop in both locations. The residual effect of *Glomus clarum* and pigeon pea on maize yield was 53% higher in Ekpoma than found in Uzeba. The loamy sand texture of Ekpoma soil enhanced the efficiency of mycorrhiza utilisation compared to the sandy texture of Uzeba.

*Keywords: Glomus clarum*, maize, pigeon pea, soil nutrient, nitrogen fixation, yield

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The degradation of soil chemical and physical qualities necessitates improvement of soil fertility for sustainable crop production. Soil structure and texture influence water and nutrient retention, ion adsorption, and mycorrhizal colonisation. These qualities affect the soil's nutritional content. Fertilizers have been shown to improve soil fertility and crop yield, but their high cost, lack of availability, and environmental effect are key obstacles. Organic or biofertilizers are used to achieve a balance between yield and environmental aims. The rhizosphere is a plant-microbe interaction zone where microorganisms colonise developing roots. So, using biofertilizers to boost soil fertility is recommended. Mycorrhizal fungal inocula as a biofertilizer has been shown to promote nutrient uptake by plants, especially phosphorus, boosting growth and production of crops like pigeon pea (Chikowo et al., 2004).

Effective nodulation and N2 fixation depend on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi supplying phosphorus to legume root nodules (Requena et al. 2001). Leguminous plant used to build soil, agroforestry systems, and cover crops (Valenzuela and Smith, 2002). Pigeon pea may fix nitrogen and supply organic matter from its litter fall, improving soil quality and structure (Odeny, 2007). Pigeon peas are thought to fix more nitrogen than other leguminous crops, between 40-250 kg/ha (Chikowo et al. 2004). The amount of nitrogen and phosphorus released by root decomposition was over 40 kg/ha, providing a potentially useful pool of nutrients for the following crops in the rotation (Barber and Navarro, 1994). Pigeon peas can also sequester carbon, which helps mitigate the consequences of climate change (Waddington et al., 2007).

Furthermore, inoculation of mycorrhiza to pigeon pea base cropping system will enhance the growth and yield of the companion crop (maize) and soil quality. The increase in yield of crops in a legume – mycorrhizal base cropping system depends on the native nutrient available at the planting. The practice of mycorrhizal fungi base intercropping system is very rear among farmers. It is therefore the objectives of this research to investigate: i) effects of soil texture and nutrient on mycorrhizal use efficiency, ii) the effect of mycorrhizal fungi on the growth and yield of maize - pigeon pea intercrop and iii) the synergetic effects of mycorrhiza – pigeon pea base cropping system on nutrient uptake at Ekpoma and Uzeba.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Experimental site**

A two-year study was carried out in 2008 and 2009 at Ekpoma and Uzeba, Edo State, Nigeria. Ekpoma lies between Latitude North 6° 45' 34" and longitude East 6° 8' 27", average annual rainfall of about 1500mm. While Uzeba lies between latitude 6° 58' 56.98" North and longitude East 5° 54' 30.75" East, with average rainfall of 1300mm annually.

#### **Soil Analysis**

Top soil (0-15 cm) was collected before to each planting season, dried, sieved, and tested for chemical and physical qualities. The hydrometer was used to measure particle size (Bouyoucous, 1962). The pH was tested in water (1:1, soil:water), (IITA, 1979). The available phosphorous was measured by the Bray extraction method (Anderson and Ingram, 1993). Kjeldahl technique determined total nitrogen (Bremner and Mulvaney 1982). Calcium and magnesium were evaluated by atomic absorption spectrophotometer while potassium was extracted with ammonium acetate (IITA, 1979). It was a factorial experiment with three replications in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). There were two degrees of mycorrhiza (Glomus clarum) and three planting systems (sole maize, sole pigeon pea, maize-pigeon pea hedgerow). The inoculants employed were Glomus clarum and Suwan-1-SR for maize and IAR&T50 for pigeon pea (long duration). Planting rates for maize and pigeon pea were 1,200 kg/ha and 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Plant height, stem girth, number of leaves, dry matter shoot and grain yield were all assessed, as was nutrient uptake by multiplying nutrient concentration in plant tissue by dry matter weight.

Nutrient uptake = concentration x dry matter yield. The residual experiment was carried out in 2009 and the above parameters were also measured. Nitrogen fixation was evaluated by xylem sap technique (People et al. 1989).

*Statistical Analysis:* ANOVA was used to examine data from laboratory and field experiments (SAS, 1995). DMRT was used to separate the means.

#### RESULTS

#### Soil Analysis

The initial soil pH at Ekpoma and Uzeba were 5.93 and 5.91, the inoculation of mycorrhiza and the impact of pigeon pea raised the pH level to 6.2 and 6.1 respectively. The values of organic carbon were increased by 86.6 % and 40 % in mycorrhizal pigeon pea and sole pigeon pea plots in Ekpoma compared to Uzeba location (Table 1). The inoculation of mycorrhiza to pigeon pea results to increase of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, magnesium and calcium in the soil (Table 1). This nutrient and ECEC were higher in treatments at Ekpoma than that of Uzeba. The textural class of Ekpoma and Uzeba soil was loamy sand and sand respectively (Table 1).

#### Growth parameters

The inoculation of mycorrhiza significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased the height and stem girth of both sole and

intercrop maize and pigeon pea compared to nonmycorrhizal maize and pigeon pea in 2008 and 2009 cropping season at Ekpoma. There was no significant difference in stem girth of maize and pigeon pea at Uzeba in both cropping season (Table 2). The stem girth of pigeon pea was 49.5% higher in Ekpoma compared to that Uzeba. It was also observed that the growth of maize and pigeon in Ekpoma were significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased with inoculation of mycorrhiza compared to that of Uzeba in both cropping seasons.

#### Grain yield

In 2008, the grain yield of sole and intercrop maize inoculated with Glomus clarum was 2.24 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 1.87 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in Ekpoma. Compared to non-mycorrhizal pigeon pea, mycorrhizal pigeon pea had better grain yield and above-ground biomass (Table 3). In Ekpoma, residual mycorrhizal inoculation boosted average yields to 2.65 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2.58 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, while in Uzeba, average yields were 1.51 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 1.73 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The maize dry matter yield at Uzeba was considerably ( $p \le 0.05$ ) lower than at Ekpoma. The pigeon pea and mycorrhizal interaction increased maize grain and dry matter production ( $p \le 0.05$ ), (Table 3).

#### Nitrogen fixation

Interaction of mycorrhiza and pigeon pea nodules results in significant ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased of nitrogen fixation per hectare. Mycorrhizal pigeon pea were 74.4% and 43.0% higher than non-mycorrhizal sole and intercrop pigeon pea (Table 3 & 4).

#### Nutrient uptake

The nitrogen uptake in maize was significantly (p  $\leq 0.05$ ) higher in mycorrhizal maize - pigeon pea intercrop (AM+MP) with the value of 301 kg ha-1 compared to other treatments; non mycorrhizal intercrop (AM-MP) value was 241.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, mycorrhizal sole maize (AM+Sole maize) value was 261.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, non-mycorrhizal sole maize (AM-Sole maize) value was 208.7 kg ha-1. Phosphorus and potassium uptake were significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) higher in mycorrhizal maize and pigeon pea compared to other treatments. The result shows that mycorrhizal inoculation positively influenced the nutrients uptake by plants. The interaction of pigeon pea and mycorrhiza increased uptake of nitrogen and other nutrients in maize and pigeon pea in the second season experiment (Table 5). At Uzeba site in 2008, inoculation of mycorrhiza on maize and pigeon pea increased significantly the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium compared to other treatments where mycorrhiza was not applied (Table 5). Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake were significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) higher in maize and pigeon pea inoculated with mycorrhiza due the interaction between mycorrhiza and pigeon pea. Comparing the nutrient uptake from both locations; Ekpoma had significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) higher nutrient uptake in both maize and pigeon with or without mycorrhizal inoculation compared to that of Uzeba.

#### DISCUSSION

Legumes have the ability to form symbiotic association with micro-organisms; such as Rhizobia and vescicular arbuscular mycorrhizal (VAM) fungi. Mycorrhizal fungi have been reported to improve the growth and yield of leguminous plants, especially in phosphorus deficient soils as it enhances phosphorus uptake which was evident in this experiment (Zaidi et al. 2003). Pigeon pea directly influence soil quality, organic matter and soil environment as they are the determinant of the AMF networks development thereby influencing the soil (Hamel and Strullu, 2006., Poi et al. 1989). Pigeon pea and AM fungi inocula increase the pH of the soil to near neutral compared to initial soil pH of 5.9 and this resulted from the ability of the association to reduce the acidity of the soil. This was in accordance with the earlier work done by Heichel et al. (1991). The result also showed that pigeon and mycorrhizal fungi improve the carbon content of the soil and this was confirmed by the earlier work done by Drinkwater et al. (1998), they reported that legume base intercrop reduce carbon losses and increase the accumulation of carbon. Mycorrhizal fungi and pigeon pea association improve the soil nitrogen content. This result from the mutualistic interaction between mycorrhiza and rhizobia inhabiting the roots of pigeon pea which led to increase number of nodules formation and nitrogen fixation (Hodge, 2003). There was a synergistic interaction existing in the tripartite interaction of the Rhizobium-legume-AM fungi association (Gianinazzi-Pearson and Diem, 1982). Pigeon pea with mycorrhiza inoculation improved soil phosphorus concentration. This supports Rodrigues et al. (2003) findings that AM fungus can boost phosphorus uptake, plant development, and yield. Both cereals and legumes have been shown to benefit from arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomus clarum) in lowphosphorus soils. According to Rousseau et al. (1994), mycorrhizal hyphae can improve root nutrition absorption surface area. Soil nutrient concentration is increased by hyphae penetrating beyond nutrient deficient areas.

		Ekpo	oma		Uzeba			
Parameters	Units	Control (2008)	Pigeaon pea Plots (2009)	► Pigeaon pea +Mycorrhiza plots (2009)	Control (2008)	Pigeaon pea Plots (2009)	Pigeaon pea +Mycorrhiza plots (2009)	
pH(water 1:1)	-	5.95	6.10	6.20	5.92	6.00	6.10	
Drganic Matter	g /kg	15.50	21.90	28.70	9.60	10.60	11.90	
Nitrogen	g / kg	9.00	11.40	27.00	0.90	5.70	8.00	
Phosphorus Potassium Magnesium Calcium	mg / kg cmol /kg cmol /kg cmol / kg	5.31 0.08 0.37 0.67	11.64 0.13 2.13 4.32	15.26 0.23 2.73 5.31	4.24 0.04 0.33 0.49	5.31 0.06 0.71 1.86	6.39 0.08 1.97 3.45	
Sodium	cmol / kg	0.10	0.31	0.37	0.09	0.28	0.38	
ECEC Base saturation	- %	7.50 69.34	9.04 92.01	9.61 93.34	1.58 62.00	4.23 85.82	6.28 93.63	
Sand	g / kg	832	834	854	904	906	901	
Silt	g / kg	114	104	92	60	14	23	
Clay Textural Class	g / kg	54 Loamy sand	62 Loamy sand	54 Loamy sand	36 Sand	80 Sand	76 Sand	

**Table 1.** Soil chemical and physical analytical results at Ekpoma and Uzeba site in 2008 and 2009

Treatments									
	•	→ m	aize 🗲		•	> Pigeo	on pea 🗲		
	Height (c	m)	Stem girth (cm)		Height (cm)		Stem girth (cm)		
	2008 2009		2008	2009	2008 2009		2008 2009		
AM +Sole PE	-				-	214.63ª	7.27 <sup>a</sup>	7.27a	
AM+Sole PU					80.77	123.22	3.67	3.82	
AM -Sole PE					185.42 <sup>b</sup>	218.09ª	6.42 <sup>b</sup>	7.38a	
AM - SolePU					82.80	83.78	3.08	3.80	
AM + MPintercropE	72.38ª	80.81 <sup>a</sup>	6.27ª	6.20 <sup>a</sup>	185.32 <sup>b</sup>	220.62ª	6.83 <sup>b</sup>	7.76a	
AM+ MP intercropU	51.98	35.82	5.72	4.28	78.35	106.00	3.68	3.88	
AM - MP intercrop E	62.37 <sup>b</sup>	68.26 <sup>b</sup>	5.20 <sup>b</sup>	5.60 <sup>b</sup>	172.40 <sup>b</sup>	218.22ª	6.30 <sup>b</sup>	7.02a	
AM - MP intercrop U	46.77 <sup>c</sup>	39.84 <sup>d</sup>	5.43 <sup>b</sup>	4.16 <sup>c</sup>	72.53°	99.33¢	3.03 <sup>c</sup>	3.53b	
AM + Sole M E	70.02ª	70.02 <sup>b</sup>	6.43 <sup>a</sup>	5.87 <sup>b</sup>					
AM + Sole MU	49.72 <sup>c</sup>	35.15 <sup>d</sup>	5.75 <sup>b</sup>	4.00 <sup>c</sup>					
AM - Sole ME	64.98 <sup>b</sup>	50.69°	5.43 <sup>b</sup>	5.18 <sup>b</sup>					
AM - Sole MU	43.23 <sup>c</sup>	31.97 <sup>d</sup>	5.72 <sup>b</sup>	3.73 <sup>c</sup>					

**Table 2.** Growth of maize and pigeon pea as affected by mycorrhiza in maize – pigeon pea hedgerow under field conditions at Ekpoma and Uzeba in2008 and 2009 at twenty week after planting

Mean values with the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \le 0.05$  according to Duncan's multiple range tests. AM+= with mycorrhizal inoculum; AM- = without mycorrhizal inoculum; intercrop MP= maize – pigeon pea hedgerow; sole m= sole maize; sole P= sole pigeon pea. E= Ekpoma, U= Uzeba.

Treatment		First planti	ng season (20	08)	Residual effect- second planting season (2009)					
	Maize		Pigeon pea		Maize		Pigeon p	bea		
	Yield	Shoot biomass	Yield	Shoot biomass	Yield	Shoot biomass	Yield	Shoot biomass	$N_2$ fixation	
			1.65ª	5.39ª			2.58ª	14.41ª		
AM+Sole PE			1.01 <sup>b</sup>	1.07 <sup>d</sup>			1.23 <sup>b</sup>	1.77 <sup>c</sup>		
AM+Sole PU			0.25 <sup>a</sup>	3.25 <sup>b</sup>			1.55 <sup>b</sup>	14.01 <sup>a</sup>		
AM-Sole PE			0.17 <sup>b</sup>	1.07 <sup>d</sup>			0.85 <sup>c</sup>	1.61 <sup>c</sup>		
AM-Sole PU	2.24 <sup>a</sup>	3.11ª	0.18 <sup>b</sup>	5.49ª	2.65ª	2.42ª	2.36ª	14.24 <sup>a</sup>	0.15 <sup>b</sup>	
AM+MP intercropE AM+MP intercropU	1.51 <sup>b</sup>	2.02°	0.12 <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.96</b> <sup>d</sup>	1.73 <sup>b</sup>	1.82°	1.04 <sup>c</sup>	1.12 <sup>c</sup>	0.10 <sup>b</sup>	
AM-MP intercropE	1.35 <sup>c</sup>	2.81 <sup>b</sup>	0.86 <sup>c</sup>	2.74c	1.77 <sup>b</sup>	2.27ª	1.32 <sup>b</sup>	12.38 <sup>b</sup>	0.11 <sup>b</sup>	
AM-MP intercropU	0.77 <sup>d</sup>	1.16 <sup>d</sup>	0.46 <sup>c</sup>	0.71 <sup>d</sup>	1.34 <sup>b</sup>	1.67 <sup>c</sup>	0.77 <sup>c</sup>	1.30 <sup>c</sup>	0.09 <sup>c</sup>	
AM+SoleMaizeE	1.87 <sup>b</sup>	2.51 <sup>b</sup>			1.50 <sup>b</sup>	1.66 <sup>b</sup>				
AM+SoleMaizeU	1.45 <sup>b</sup>	1.92 <sup>c</sup>			1.08 <sup>b</sup>	1.66 <sup>c</sup>				
AM-SoleMaizeE	1.41 <sup>c</sup>	1.89 <sup>c</sup>			0.97 <sup>c</sup>	1.51c				
AM-Sole MaizeU	0.65 <sup>d</sup>	0.85 <sup>d</sup>			0.35°	0.86 <sup>c</sup>				

## **Table 3.** Yield (ton ha<sup>-1</sup>) parameters of maize and pigeon pea as affected by mycorrhizal inoculum in maize – pigeon intercrop under field conditions at Ekpoma and Uzeba

Mean followed by the same letter in the columns are not different at  $P \le 0.05$  according to Duncan's tests. AM+ = with mycorrhizal inoculum, AM - = without mycorrhizal inoculum, MP= intercrop maize- pigeon pea, Sole m= sole maize; sole P=sole pigeon pea, E= Ekpoma, U= Uzeba<sup>1</sup>SE=Standard Error.

ЕКРОМА							Uzeba						
TREATMENTS	SEASON 1: MAIZE			SEAS	SEASON 2: MAIZE		SEASON 1: PIGEON PEA			SEASON 2: PIGEON PEA			
	Ν	Р	К	Ν	Р	К	Ν	Р	К	Ν	Р	К	
AM + Sole PE							1,618.00ª	81.00 <sup>a</sup>	71.20ª	3,188.80ª	113.90 <sup>a</sup>	103.80ª	
AM +SolePU							197.89 <sup>e</sup>	1.72 <sup>d</sup>	27.36 <sup>c</sup>	2,050.13 <sup>b</sup>	68.50 <sup>b</sup>	87.41 <sup>b</sup>	
AM - Sole PE							864.50 <sup>c</sup>	62.00ª	52.40 <sup>b</sup>	3,478.76ª	100.90ª	105.80ª	
AM + Sole PU							169.30	3.87 <sup>d</sup>	9.92 <sup>d</sup>	1,4214.36	51.24 <sup>b</sup>	43.92 <sup>b</sup>	
AM +MPintercropE	301.00ª	31.00ª	10.50ª	609.30ª	46.50ª	32.40ª	1,213.70 <sup>b</sup>	55.00 <sup>b</sup>	56.60 <sup>b</sup>	3,310.90ª	105.40ª	116.70ª	
AM +MPintercropU	126.40 <sup>c</sup>	2.13 <sup>e</sup>	3.81 <sup>c</sup>	168.18 <sup>d</sup>	6.81 <sup>d</sup>	5.88 <sup>c</sup>	417.75 <sup>d</sup>	5.47 <sup>d</sup>	7.64 <sup>d</sup>	1,992.53 <sup>b</sup>	77.13 <sup>b</sup>	59.99 <sup>b</sup>	
AM – MpintercropE	241.20 <sup>b</sup>	17.00 <sup>b</sup>	9.50ª	490.50 <sup>b</sup>	23.90 <sup>b</sup>	21.80 <sup>b</sup>	652.50¢	33.00°	54.80 <sup>b</sup>	3,449.80ª	118.90ª	94.10ª	
AM-MPintercropU	92.85°	1.77 <sup>e</sup>	3.80°	95.58 <sup>e</sup>	2.08 <sup>e</sup>	4.56°	566.60°	2.06 <sup>d</sup>	8.30 <sup>d</sup>	1,681.36 <sup>b</sup>	70.14 <sup>b</sup>	60.12 <sup>b</sup>	
AM + Sole ME	208.70 <sup>b</sup>	8.10 <sup>d</sup>	7.30 <sup>b</sup>	364.50c	11.40 <sup>d</sup>	9.50°							
AM + Sole MU	70.14 <sup>c</sup>	10.60 <sup>c</sup>	10.20ª	455.90 <sup>b</sup>	17.00 <sup>c</sup>	13.20 <sup>b</sup>							
AM-SoleME	60.12 <sup>c</sup>	8.10 <sup>d</sup>	7.50 <sup>b</sup>	374.50°	11.40 <sup>c</sup>	9.50°							
AM-SoleMU	25.46 <sup>d</sup>	2.10 <sup>e</sup>	1.24 <sup>d</sup>	26.05 <sup>e</sup>	5.69e	1.25 <sup>d</sup>							

Table 4. Nutrient uptake (kg ha-1) of maize and pigeon pea as affected by mycorrhizal inoculum at Ekpoma and Uzeba under field condition

Mean followed by the same letter in the columns are not different at  $P \le 0.05$  according to Duncan's tests. AM+ = with mycorrhizal inoculum, AM - = without mycorrhizal inoculum, MP= intercrop maize- pigeon pea, Sole m= sole maize; sole P=sole pigeon pea, E= Ekpoma, U= Uzeba<sup>1</sup>SE=Standard error.

Compared to Uzeba's sandy soil, Ekpoma's sandy loam positively improves nutrient content and crop mycorrhiza use. Sylvia and Williams (1992) claim that sandy soils are more permeable, warmer, dryer, and less fertile than soils with finer texture, all of which affect AMF. This affects maize and pigeon pea growth and productivity. Inoculating maize and pigeon pea with mycorrhiza enhanced growth and vield. Mycorrhizal inoculum boosted the development and productivity of crops like maize and yam, according to Oyetunji et al. The results matched Ogungbe and Fagbola's (2008) findings that mycorrhiza improved maize growth and yield. Per Hamel (2003), inoculation of AM fungus promotes plant growth and development. The inoculation of mycorrhiza increased the dry matter weight of maize, as reported by Fagbola et al (1998). Intercropping increased maize yields significantly (p  $\leq$  0.05) largely in the second cropping season. Intercrops improve future crops due to root and nodule senescence and leaf fall, according to Ledgard and Giller (1995). Danso (1992) and Gilleret al. (1994) demonstrated that leguminous crops can maintain soil fertility by fixing nitrogen and retaining green manure or residue. The lone non-mycorrhizal maize intercrop declined in yield as the mycorrhizal intercrop grew. The interaction of mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia boosted the growth and yield of pigeon pea and maize in the intercrop. In 2009, the residual effects of maize-pigeon pea intercrop enhanced maize yield compared to solitary maize. After the first planting season, Egbe and Adevemo (2006) and Egbe and Kalu (2009) found increased growth and production of maize intercropped with pigeon pea. Pigeon pea leaves litter boosted soil organic matter

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

#### REFERENCES

- Anderson, J. M., & Ingram, J. S. (1993). Tropical soil biology and fertility. A hand book of methods. Information Press Eynsham, 10-85.
- Barea, J. M., & Azcon, R. (2002). Mycorrhizophere interaction to improve plant fitness and soil quality. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, *81*, 343 - 351.

and nutritional status, enhancing maize and pigeon pea growth and productivity. Dania et al. (2009) found that using pigeon pea leaves/biomass as manure increased maize yield.

Inoculating pigeon pea with AM fungus improved N2 fixation. This verified Barea et al. (2002) findings that nitrogen-fixing rhizobia with mycorrhizal fungus boost pigeon pea nitrogen fixation. Inoculating plants with Mycorrhizal fungi and Rhizobial fungi improves nutrient uptake by plants (Hosamani et al. 2011).

Mycorrhizal fungus inoculation increased nitrogen absorption in maize compared to nonmycorrhizal maize ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Also, as shown by Fagbola et al. (2005), inoculating mycorrhiza to mycorrhizal crops improved nitrogen uptake. Inoculated intercropped maize and pigeon pea increased nutrient absorption compared to nonintercropped pigeon pea and single maize. From the texture and soil nutrient status, Ekpoma site showed considerably ( $p \le 0.05$ ) greater growth, yield, and nutrient uptake of maize and pigeon pea.

#### CONCLUSION

The comparative effects of legume - mycorrhizal base cropping system in Ekpoma and Uzeba was investigated. *Glomus clarum* and pigeon pea interaction increased soil nitrogen fixation, organic matter, and nutrient status. In Ekpoma, mycorrhizal fungus inoculation increased maize and pigeon pea yields in both solo and intercrop systems compared to Uzeba. Soil nutrient content influences mycorrhizal usage efficiency and plant nutrient absorption. The soil texture influenced the growth, yield, and nutrient uptake of maize and pigeon pea at both locations.

- Bouyoucos, G. J. (1962). Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analyses of soil. *Agronomy Journal*, *53*, 464 -465. <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1962.000</u> <u>21962005400050028x</u>
- Chikowo, R., Mapfumo, P., Nyamugafata, P., & Giller, K. E. (2004). Woody legume fallowproductivity, biological N2-fixation and residual benefits to two successive maize crops in Zimbabwe. *Plant* and Soil, 262, 303 – 315. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1023/B:PLS0.0000037053.05902.60</u>
- Dania, S. O., Dania, M. I., & Fagbola, O. (2009). Performances of maize as influenced by *Cajanus cajan*compost and mycorrhizal inoculation. *Nigerian Journal of Mycology*, *2*(1), 117 – 122.
- Danso, S. K. A., Bowen, G. D., & Sanginga. N. (1992). Biological nitrogen fixation in trees in agro ecosystems. *Plant and Soil*, 147, 177-196

- Drinkwater, L. E., Wagoner, P., & Sarrantonio, M. (1998). Legume-based cropping systems have reduced carbon and nitrogen losses. *Nature*, 396, 262 265. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/24376</u>
- Egbe, O. M., & Adeyemo, M. O. (2006). Estimation of the effect of intercropped pigeon pea on the yield and yield components of maize in South Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. *Journalof sustainable Development in Agriculture and Environment*, 2, 107 – 119. <u>https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijaf.</u> 20120201.17
- Egbe, O. M., & Kalu, B. A. (2009). Evaluation of Pigeon {*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.} Genotype for intercropping with tall sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) in southern guinea savanna of Nigeria. *Asian Research Publishing Network Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 2, 54-67.
- Fagbola, O., Osonubi, O., & Mulongoy, K. (1998). Contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and hedgerow trees to the yield and nutrient uptake of cassava in an alley-cropping system. *Journal of Agricultural Science Cambridge*, 131, 79 - 85.
- Gianinazzi-Pearson, V., & Diem, H. G. (1982). Endomycorrhizas in the tropics, In: Dommergues, Y.R. and Dicn, H.G (editor). *Microbiology of Tropical soils and plant productivity*, 209-251. Junk. The Hague.
- Giller, K. E., McDonagh, J. F., & Cadisch, G. (1994). Can biological nitrogen fixation sustain agriculture in the tropics? p. 173-191. In Syers, J. K. and Rimmar, D. L. (Eds). *Soil Science and sustainable land management in the tropics.* CAB Int. Wallingford, England.
- Hamel, C. (2003). Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on nitrogen and phosphorus cycling in the root zone. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 84, 383 - 395.DOI: <u>10.4141/S04-004</u>
- Heichel, G. H., & Henjum, K. I. (1991). Dinitrogen fixation, nitrogen transfer and productivity of Forage legume-grass communities. *Crop Science*, 31, 202-208.
- Hodge, A. (2003). Plant nitrogen capture from organic matter as affected by spatial dispersion, interspecific competition and mycorrhizal colonization. *New Phytologist*, 157, 303-314. https://www.jstor.org /stable/1514037
- Hosamani, P. A., Lakshman, H. C., Sandeepkumar, K., Channabasava, A., Kadam, M., & Gadi, S. B. (2011). Synergistic effect between AM fungus and *Rhizobium*in Pigeon pea. *American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 5(4), 428-432.

- Ledgard, S. J., & Giller, K. E. (1995). Atmospheric N2
  fixation as an alternative nitrogen source. p. 443 486. In: Bacon. P. (ed). Nitrogen fertilization and the environment. Marcel Dekker. NewYork.
- Mafongoya, P. L., Bationo, A., Kihara, J., & Waswa, B. S. (2006). Appropriate technologies to replenish soil fertility in southern Africa. *Nutrient Cycle of Agroecosystem*, *76*, 137–151.
- Mafongoya, P. L., Giller, K. E., & Palm, C. A. (1998). Decomposition and nutrient release patterns of pruning and litter of agroforestry trees. *Agroforestry Systems, 38*, 77-97.
- Nelson, D. W., & Sommers, L. E. (1975). A rapid and accurate method of estimating organic carbon in soil. *Proceeding of Indiana Academy of Science*, 84, 456 - 462.
- Odeny, D. A. (2007). The potential of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) in Africa. *Natural Resources Forum*, *31*, 297–305.
- Ogungbe, P. W., & Fagbola, O. (2008). Influence of Mycorrhiza and Organomineral Fertilizer Application on growth of maize cultivars in Nutrient Depleted soil. *Nigerian Journal of Mycology*, 1, 111 – 118.
- Oyetunji, O. J., Fagbola,O., & Afolayan, E. T. (2009). Effects of Abuscular mycorrhizae and Soil amendments on nutrient accumulation, water status and Chlorophyll Production of Yam. *Nigerian Journal of Mycology*, 2(1), 209 – 220.
- Peoples, M. B., Faizah, A. W., Rekasem, B., & Herridge, D. F. (1989). Methods for Evaluating Nitrogen Fixation by legumes in the field. ACIAR monograph, 11, 22-45.
- Poi, S. C., Ghosh, G., & Kabi, M. C. (1989). Response of chick pea to combined inoculation With Rhizobium phosphobacteria and mycorrhizal organisms. *Zentralbl Mikrobiolog*, 14, 249–253.
- Requena, N., Perez-Solis, E., Azcon-Aguilar, C., Jeffries, P., & Barea, J. M. (2001). Management of indigenous plant-microbe symbioses aids restoration of desertified ecosystems. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, 67, 495 – 498. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.2.495-498.2001
- Rodrigues, L. A., Martin, M. A., & Salomao, M. S. (2003). Use pf mycorrhizas and Rhizobium in intercropping system of Eucalyptus and Sesbania II. Phosphorus uptake and efficiency of use and phosphate fraction. *Revista Brasilerial de Eiencia Do Solo*, 27(4), 593-599.
- Rousseau, J. U. D., Sylvia, D. M., & Fox, A. J. (1994). Contribution of ectomycorrhiza to the potential nutrient-absorbing surface of pine. *New phytologist*, 128, 639- 644. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1111/j.1469-8137.19.94.tb04028.x

- SAS. (1985). SAS users guide. Statistical Analysis System Institute, Cary, NC, USA. pp 957.
- Sylvia, D. M., & Williams, S. E. (1992). Vesiculararbuscular mycorrhizae and environmental stress. Pp.101-124. In: G.J. Bethlenfalvay& R.G. Linderman (eds.). Mycorrhizae in sustainable agriculture. Madison, ASA Special Publication.
- Valenzuela, H. R., & Smith, J. (2002). CTAHR Sustainable Agriculture Green Manure Crops Series: Pigeonpea. University of Hawaii. Cooperation Extension Service. SA-GM-8.
- Waddington, S., Webster, D., Sakala, W. D., & Mekuria,M. (2004). Progress in lifting soil fertility inSouthern Africa. In: "New directions for a

diverse planet". Proceedings of the 4th International Crop Science Congress, 26, Sep – 1 Oct, 2004.

- Waddington, S. R., Mekuria, M., Siziba, S., & Karigwindi, J. (2007). Long-term yield sustainability and financial returns from grain legume-maize intercrops on a sandy soil in subhumid north central Zimbabwe. *Experimental Agriculture*, 43, 489 -503. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479707005303
- Zaidi, A., Khan, M. S., & Amil M (2003). Interactive effect of rhizotrophicmicro organisms on yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *European Journal of Agronomy*, 19(1), 15-21.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by authors. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.