

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Mean performance and genetic variability of parthenocarpic gynoecious cucumber inbreds under protected conditions of Eastern India

Vishal Tripathi¹, Vijay K. Singh¹, Ajay Bhardwaj^{1*}, Ravi S. Singh², Srinivasaraghavan A³, and Amrita Kumari¹

¹Deptt. of Hort. (Vegetable and Floriculture), Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour-813210, Bhagalpur (Bihar) ²Deptt. of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour-813210, Bhagalpur (Bihar) ³Deptt. of Plant Pathology, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour-813210, Bhagalpur (Bihar)

Edited by:

Dr. K. Ashokkumar, Ph.D., Cardamom Research Station, KAU, Idukki, Kerala, India.

Article history:

Received: April 03, 2021 Accepted: May 11, 2021 Published: June 24, 2021

Citation:

Tripathi, V., Singh, V. K., Bhardwaj, A., Singh, R. S., Srinivasaraghavan, A., & Kumari, A. (2021). Mean performance and genetic variability of parthenocarpic gynoecious cucumber inbreds under protected conditions of Eastern India. *Journal of Current Opinion in Crop Science*, *2*(2), 178-183.

ABSTRACT

Kharif 2018, department of horticulture BAU, Sabour, Bihar, Eastern India, carried out an experiment to determine the average performance and genetic diversity of eight inbred genotypes of parthenocarpic gynoecious cucumber cultivated in a polyhouse. In a randomised block design, the experiment consisted of three replications. We evaluated 13 growth and yield-related factors on six competing plants, including total soluble solids (TSS) and the downy mildew percent disease index (PDI). When it came to first female flowering, node to first female flowering, and first fruit harvest, BRPCU-1 was the earliest of eight cucumber inbreds. Contrary to this, the inbreds BRPCU-3, 5 and 7 produced a large number of fruits/ plant and a large amount of fruit on a given number of plants. However, yield and fruits/plant showed evidence of additive gene effects in the majority of cases.

Keywords: Heterosis; Hybrid; Genetic variability; Inbred; Parthenocarpy

BAU COMMUNICATION NO. 957/210309

Corresponding author e-mail address: <u>bhardwaj.ajay.phd@gmail.com</u> (Bharadwaj. A)

INTRODUCTION

Greenhouse farming allows small growers to increase yields by a factor of ten or more, it is the most efficient method for commercially growing high-value vegetable crops.Moreover, it provides help to break the seasonal barrier by creating a microclimatic condition, favouring crop production, and overcoming biotic and abiotic stresses (Murthy et al., 2009). Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L. 2n=2x=14) is a fruit vegetable with great economic importance that belongs to the family Cucurbitaceae and considered an indigenous Indian origin plant. This is commercially grown all over the world in open fields or under protected conditions. In order for cucumber genotypes to be commercially grown in polyhouses, must have an intrinsic they parthenocarpic gynoecious fruit formation mechanism. The dark green colour, texture, burpless quality, and flavour of parthenocarpic/seedless cucumbers are highly respected and popular throughout the world. Cucumbers in the field are generally spiky or rough to the touch, whereas cucumbers in the greenhouse are smoother (Amrita et al., 2021). Because parthenocarpic cucumber genotypes are gynoecious and do not require pollinators for fruit set, their yield potential is larger than that of typical seeded cucumber kinds. Furthermore, economic gains are high because seedless fruit is more expensive than seeded fruit (Thapliyal, 2017).

Because numerous factors interact to produce a crop production, direct selection for increased yield could be deceiving (Gatti et al., 2005). As a result, understanding genetic variability is essential for the success of any crop development effort and the strategies used to exploit it. With all of these considerations in mind, an attempt was undertaken to investigate the average performance and **Table 1.** Analysis of variance for different traits in cucumber

determine the type and extent of genetic variability among eight cucumber inbreds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimentation was led during *Kharif*, 2018, on eight inbreds of cucumber, namely BRPCU-1, BRPCU-2, BRPCU-3, BRGCU-4, BRPCU-5, BRPCU-6, BRPCU-7, and BRPCU-8, maintained at Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour. The nursery was grown in protrays, and transplantation was done in a threereplication Randomized Block Design. For the crop produced in a naturally ventilated polyhouse, a double row system with spacing of 40 cm 60 cm (P-P R-R) was used. All of the cultural practises that were proposed were implemented (Anonymous, 2021). The coefficient of variation and broad sense heritability were calculated by the formula of Burton and De Vane (1953). The predicted genetic advance was calculated by Lush (1949) and Johnson et al. (1955) formulas, using the value of constant 'K' as supplied by Allard (1960).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the mean sum squares and genetic characteristics of all eight cucumber inbreds. All of the examined traits revealed highly significant (p0.01) variation in the ANOVA, indicating the presence of genetic variability among genotypes. Table 2 shows the mean values of eight genotypes for 13 morphological features. In terms of anthesis days to 1st female flower, the inbred BRPCU-1 (24.06) was the earliest of the eight cucumber genotypes, followed by BRGCU-4 (24.78) and BRPCU-2 (25.22).

S. No.	Sourcos	df	Replication	Treatment	Error
	Sources		2	8	16
1.	Days to first female flower anthesis		0.47	2.05**	0.36
2.	Node number to first female flower		0.05	1.24**	0.13
3.	Days to first fruit harvest		1.07	4.31**	0.97
4.	Fruit length (cm)		0.12	5.39**	0.24
5.	Fruit diameter (cm)		0.00	0.14**	0.00
6.	Flesh thickness (cm)		0.00	0.09**	0.00
7.	Fruit weight (g)		15.11	177.35**	11.18
8.	Fruits/plant		2.07	95.35**	1.32
9.	Yield/plant (g)		34291.35	1115827.96**	21481.61
10.	Vine length (cm)		2.08	2128.48**	92.27
11.	Crop duration		0.36	115.47**	7.80
12.	Percent disease index for downy mildew (PDI)		6.54	344.26**	20.54
13.	Total soluble solid (TSS)		0.01	0.09**	0.01

CHARACTER GENOTYPES	DFFFA	NFFF	DFFH	FrL	FrD	FlT	FrW
BRPCU-1	24.06	4.06	34.07	16.91	3.19	1.01	106.79
BRPCU-2	25.22	5.00	35.83	15.28	3.27	0.99	106.99
BRPCU-3	26.78	4.28	35.33	14.78	3.56	1.14	105.66
BRGCU-4	24.78	3.94	38.07	14.05	3.68	1.47	122.94
BRPCU-5	26.41	5.28	36.70	18.02	3.12	0.93	112.15
BRPCU-6	26.00	5.39	37.17	15.66	3.04	0.90	94.42
BRPCU-7	25.61	5.67	36.20	16.94	3.09	0.93	103.18
BRPCU-8	25.39	5.39	34.50	17.49	3.23	1.07	109.11
RS (CHECK)	25.78	5.17	35.13	16.95	3.22	0.99	111.97
Mean	25.56	4.91	37.05	16.23	3.27	1.05	108.14
C V (%)	2.36	7.21	2.66	3.04	2.05	5.27	3.09
SEm (±)	0.35	0.20	0.57	0.29	0.04	0.03	1.93
CD (0.05)	1.01	0.59	1.66	0.83	0.11	0.10	5.79
CHARACTER	FrP	YP	VL	CD	וחם	TSS	
GENOTYPES					PDI		
BRPCU-1	19.56	2162.92	325.44	85.89	16.00	3.12	
BRPCU-2	20.22	2243.17	350.72	87.06	27.93	3.27	
BRPCU-3	23.06	2524.30	362.17	89.39	44.33	3.30	
BRGCU-4	4.28	545.26	275.45	69.34	44.30	3.20	
BRPCU-5	20.89	2430.88	336.89	85.89	49.47	3.45	
BRPCU-6	20.39	1993.92	341.22	90.06	47.27	3.47	
BRPCU-7	21.39	2287.97	355.11	83.39	43.00	3.63	
BRPCU-8	20.45	2312.39	361.61	84.17	34.60	3.32	
RS (CHECK)	21.44	2491.96	338.16	88.00	38.53	3.12	
Mean	19.07	2110.31	338.53	84.80	38.38	3.32	
C V (%)	6.02	6.95	2.84	3.29	11.81	2.83	
SEm (±)	0.66	84.62	5.55	1.61	2.62	0.06	
CD (0.05)	1.99	253.69	16.63	4.83	7.84	0.16	

Table 2. Mean values of genotypes for 13 morphological traits

Days to first fruit harvest is an important and economically crucial attribute for a grower to capture the market early; the genotype BRPCU-1 had the shortest days to first fruit harvest (34.07), followed by BRPCU-8 (34.50). Fruit length was highest in genotype BRPCU-5 (18.02 cm), followed by genotype BRPCU-8 (17.49 cm), while fruit diameter was highest in BRGCU-4 (3.68 cm), followed by BRPCU-3 (3.56 cm). BRGCU-4, which was inbred, likewise had the greatest mean for meat thickness (1.47 cm), followed by BRPCU-3 (1.14 cm). The genotype BRGCU-4 had the highest average fruit weight (122.94 g), while the inbred line BRPCU-6 had the lowest average fruit weight (94.42 g). BRPCU-3 (23.06) had the maximum quantity of fruits/plant, followed by standard check (21.44) and BRPCU-7 (21.44). (21.39). BRPCU-

3 had the highest fruit production (2524.30 g), followed by standard check (2491.96 g) and BRPCU-5 (2430.88 g). BRPCU-3 (322.17 cm) had the most vine length increase, followed by BRPCU-8 (321.61 cm) and BRPCU-2 (321.61 cm) (320.72 cm). BRPCU-6 had the longest cucumber harvesting duration (90.06), followed by BRPCU-3 (89.39), standard check (88.00), and BRPCU-2 (87.06). In BRPCU-1, the lowest PDI percent for downy mildew was found (16.00 percent). BRPCU-7 (3.63 °Brix) had the highest TSS, followed by BRPCU-6 (3.47 °Brix) and BRPCU-5 (3.45 oBrix). Nagamani et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2019; Hochmuth et al., 2004 observed considerable differences for respective features while evaluating their materials in previous studies on evaluation of parthenocarpic cucumber hybrids under shade net situation.

Traits	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	h^2_{bs}	GA as % of mean
Days to first female flower anthesis	3.76	2.93	61.01	4.71
Node number to first female flower	14.36	12.42	74.00	22.12
Days to first fruit harvest	3.90	2.85	53.44	4.28
Fruit length (cm)	8.62	8.07	87.73	11.03
Fruit diameter (cm)	6.76	6.44	81.25	12.65
Flesh thickness (cm)	17.26	16.44	90.69	32.25
Average fruit weight (g)	7.55	6.88	83.21	12.93
Fruits/plant	29.96	29.35	95.97	59.23
Yield/plant (g)	29.45	28.62	94.44	57.29
Vine length (cm)	8.20	7.70	88.03	14.87
Crop duration	7.79	7.06	82.15	13.19
Percent disease index for downy mildew (PDI)	29.53	27.06	84.01	51.10
Total soluble solids (TSS)	5.77	4.92	72.73	8.78

Table 3. Estimates of parameters of variability for different traits in cucumber

PCV: Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation

The heritable (genetic variance) and nonheritable (environmental variance) components of the phenotypic variance of the characteristics were separated (Table 3). PCV and GCV estimates anticipate the amount of variability in the genetic stock and aid in the decision-making process for future breeding methods. Simultaneously, moderate PCV was seen in the thickness of the flesh and the number of nodes to the first female bloom. The remaining quantitative attributes had low PCV, with days to first female flower anthesis being the least. This suggests that selection would be beneficial for yield, fruits/plant, and PDI among cucumber genotypes.

The GCV is a useful tool for estimating genetic variability in many quantitative traits. Fruits/plant had the highest GCV, followed by yield/plant and percent disease index for downy mildew (PDI), with days to first fruit harvest having the lowest GCV. The high GCV indicates exploitable genetic variability, and inbred selection for the traits might be effective. Singh et al., 2018; Behera et al., 2007; Bisht et al., 2011 for fruits/plant; Chaudhary et al., 2015 for fruits/ plant and yield/vine verified the high PCV and GCV for fruits/plant and yield per vine. Bhardwaj, 2017 and Ranjan et al., 2015, on the other hand, estimated low PCV and GCV. Although PCV was larger than GCV, this indicated that genotypic contribution was greater than environmental input in the expression of these traits, implying that phenotypic based selection would be possible.

Heritability estimates provide a metric that can be used to improve the selection efficiency of specific features (Bhardwaj, 2017). The lower the influence of environment in observable variation, the higher the value of heritability (Eid, 2009). The highest h2 bs was assessed in ten cucumber genotypes, whereas moderate was found in three quantitative traits. On the other hand, have moderate heritability. In general, the trait with the most significant variability has a higher level of genetic advancement (Bhardwaj, 2017). Additive gene effects are shown in traits with high heritability and higher genetic advancement (Panse and Sukhatme, 1957).

Non-additive gene effects, are connected to qualities with high heritability but limited genetic advance, according to the study. There was modest heritability with poor genetic progress in terms of the number of days till female flower anthesis and fruit harvest.

Genetic advance estimates for traits such as average fruit weight, total soluble solids (TSS) and fruit length were low, suggesting that non-additive gene action is important for these traits. Simple selection would be inefficient if the high heritability was due to environmental influences rather than genotypic effects. For fruit length, Basavarajeshwari et al. (2014) also observed significant heritability and low genetic advance.

CONCLUSION

Among eight cucumber inbreds, genotype BRPCU-1 had the earliest female flowering date and the earliest fruit harvest date, as well as disease tolerance to downy mildew. BRPCU-3, BRPCU-5, and BRPCU-7, on the other hand, produced the largest yield. Traits like as fruits/plant, flesh thickness, yield/plant and per cent disease index for downy mildew are regulated by additive gene activity These qualities could be increased by phenotypic selection. Gene action is nonadditive when it comes to other variables studied in the study, which had a moderate heritability and a moderate to low genetic advance. These traits could be improved by heterosis breeding.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous (2021). Bihar Kisan Dairy 2021. *Bihar Agricultural University*, Sabour (Bhagalpur), p. 215.
- Allard, R.W. (1960). *Principles of Plant Breeding*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, p.485.
- Behera, T. K., Kumar, R., Panda, B., & Munshi, A. D. (2007). Genetic variability in *Cucumis sativus* var. *hardwickii* R. (Alef) germplasm. *Cucurbit Genetics Cooperative Report*, 30, 5-10.
- Basavarajeshwari, M. R., Nagaraja, K. S., Srikant, L. G., Mahamad, T. H. N., Raveendra, Y. C., & Gowda, H.
 V. (2014). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield parameters and fruit and seed quality parameters in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Trends in Biosciences*, 7, 1965-1967.
- Burton, G. W., & De Vane, E. H. (1953). Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy Journal*, *54*(10), 478-481.
- Choudhary, H., Singh, D. K., & Damke, S. R. (2015). Genetic variability in *Cucumis sativus* var. *hardwickii*: key to cucumber improvement. *International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research, 13*, 340-343.
- Eid, M. H. (2009). Estimation of heritability and genetic advance of yield traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under drought conditions. *International Journal of Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 1(7), 115-120.
- Gatti, I., Anido, F. L., Vanina, C., Asprelli, P., & Country, E. (2005). Heritability and expected selection response for yield traits in blanched asparagus. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 4(1), 67-73.
- Bisht, B., Singh, M. P., Srivastava, B. K., & Singh, P. K. (2011). Performance of cucumber varieties in a

naturally ventilated polyhouse. *Indian Journal of Horiculture, 68,* 575-577.

- Dogra, L. K. (2012). Genetic evaluation of some hybrids of cucumber under modified naturally ventilated greenhouse in mid hills of western Himalayas.
 [M.Sc. (Ag) thesis, Chaudhary Sarwan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishwavidyalaya] Palampur, Himachal Pradesh, India, p.92.
- Bhardwaj, A. (2017). Development of parthenocarpic gynoecious cucumber hybrids in cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) for protected cultivation [Ph. D. thesis in Horticulture (Olericulture), Kerala Agricultural University] Thrissur, pp.145-147.
- Karthika, A. K. (2016). Development of tropical gynoecious lines in cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.)
 [M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University] Thrissur, p.88.
- Hochmuth, R. C., Davis, L. L. L., Laughlin, W. L., Simonne, E. H., Sargent, S. A., & Berry, A. (2004).
 Evaluation of twelve greenhouse beit-alpha cucumber varieties and two growing systems, *Acta Horticulturae*, 659, 461-466. doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.2004.659.61
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F., & Comstock, R. E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agronomy Journal*, *47*, 314-318.
- Kumar, P., Khapte, P. S., Saxena, A., & Kumar, P. (2019). Evaluation of gynoecious cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) hybrids for early-summer greenhouse production in Western Indian arid plains. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 89, 545-550.
- Kumari, A., Kumar, R., Bhardwaj, A., & Tripathi, V. (2021). Mean performance of gynoecious cucumber hybrids in sub-tropical climate of eastern India. *Journal of Current Opinion in Crop Science*, 2(1), 95-101. <u>https://jcocs.com/ index.php/ej/article/view/34</u>
- Lush J. L. (1949). Inter size correlation, regression of offspring on dams as method of estimating heritability of characters. *Proceedings American Soceity of Animal Production*, *33*, 293-301.
- Murthy, D. S., Prabhakar, B. S., Hebbar, S. S., Srinivas, V., & Prabhakar, M. (2009). Economic feasibility of vegetable production under polyhouse: A case study of capsicum and tomato. *Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 4(2), 148-152.
- Nagamani, G. V., Kumar, J. S. A., Reddy, T. B. M., Rajesh,
 A. M., Amarananjundeswara H., Reddy, R. L. R., &
 Doddabasappa, B. (2019). Performance of
 different parthenocarpic cucumber (*Cucumis* sativus L.) hybrids for yield and yield attributing
 traits under shade net house. International
 Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied

Sciences, *8*, 978-982. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 20546/ijcmas.2019.803.117

- Panse, V. G. & Sukhatme, P. V. (1957). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers., ICAR, New Delhi, 359p.
- Singh, S. S., Yadav, G. C., & Kathayat, K. (2018). Study of genetic variability in cucumber. *Journal of Hill Agriculture*, *9*, 39-43.
- Shah, K. N., Rana, D. K., & Singh, V. (2018). Evaluation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic

advance in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) for various quantitative, qualitative and seed characters. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7, 3296-3303.

Thapliyal, V. (2017). Heterosis and combining ability for yield and yield attributing traits of parthenocarpic cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) under poly-net house conditions (Doctoral dissertation, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana).